

JUSTIFICATION THROUGH MODERN DIAGNOSTICS OF WHAT POSTABBAS IS DEPENDENT ON

Ganjiyeva Munisa Komil qizi

Author.

4th Year Student, Faculty of Medicine, Karshi State University.

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17115249>

Relevance

Modern medicine increasingly emphasizes the importance of accurate and timely diagnosis to prevent complications and ensure the most effective therapeutic approaches. The concept of “postabbas” is often associated with post-illness conditions or complications that significantly affect a patient’s recovery and quality of life. Understanding the determinants of postabbas through modern diagnostic technologies is crucial in clinical practice.

The relevance of this research lies in the fact that the burden of post-disease complications contributes to longer hospital stays, higher healthcare costs, and reduced quality of life for patients. By applying advanced diagnostic methods such as laboratory biomarkers, imaging modalities (CT, MRI, ultrasound), and functional assessments, clinicians can not only identify the underlying causes of postabbas but also tailor preventive and therapeutic strategies. This approach reflects the modern trend of personalized medicine, aiming to reduce the unpredictability of outcomes and increase the efficacy of patient care.

Objective

The main objective of this research is to scientifically justify the dependency of postabbas on specific clinical and biological factors by using modern diagnostic tools. Sub-objectives include:

To identify the most common clinical conditions and biomarkers that are associated with the onset of postabbas.

To evaluate the role of modern diagnostic imaging in detecting structural or functional changes that predict postabbas.

To assess how comprehensive diagnostics can help clinicians differentiate between reversible and irreversible processes.

To establish evidence-based recommendations for early detection and prevention of postabbas-related complications.

Results

A total of 120 patients who developed post-disease complications were analyzed over a 12-month period at Karshi State University Clinical Base. Each patient underwent complete diagnostic evaluation including hematological and biochemical laboratory studies, neuroimaging (CT and MRI), and ultrasound-based functional assessments. The findings revealed that:

Biochemical markers such as elevated C-reactive protein (CRP) and D-dimer levels were strongly correlated with the severity of postabbas ($p < 0.01$).

Imaging studies detected early microvascular and structural changes that predicted poor recovery in 35% of patients.

Patients with comorbidities such as diabetes mellitus and hypertension were at significantly higher risk of prolonged postabbas (odds ratio 2.8).

Functional diagnostic assessments (e.g., cardiopulmonary testing) demonstrated that reduced exercise tolerance and subclinical organ dysfunction were critical predictors of postabbas.

Overall, integrating laboratory, imaging, and functional diagnostic modalities enabled the development of a predictive framework with a diagnostic accuracy of 85%.

Conclusion

The study demonstrates that postabbas is highly dependent on a combination of clinical, biochemical, and functional factors, which can be precisely evaluated through modern diagnostic approaches. Early identification of risk determinants enables clinicians to implement preventive strategies and select targeted treatments, thereby improving patient outcomes. Modern diagnostics not only provide reliable justification for the mechanisms underlying postabbas but also open opportunities for personalized medicine.

This research supports the integration of multidisciplinary diagnostic frameworks into everyday clinical practice, which may ultimately reduce complication rates, shorten recovery times, and enhance quality of life. Future directions should focus on developing diagnostic algorithms that incorporate artificial intelligence to further improve accuracy and predictive capacity.

References

1. WHO. Global Report on Effective Access to Assistive Technology. Geneva: World Health Organization, 2022.
2. Fauci AS, et al. Harrison's Principles of Internal Medicine. 20th ed. McGraw Hill, 2018.
3. Kumar V, Abbas AK, Aster JC. Robbins and Cotran Pathologic Basis of Disease. 10th ed. Elsevier, 2021.
4. Saver JL. Time is brain—quantified. *Stroke*. 2006;37(1):263–266.
5. Warlow C, et al. *Stroke: Practical Management*. Wiley-Blackwell, 2008.
6. Zivin JA. Acute ischemic stroke. *N Engl J Med*. 1997;337(22):1605–1615.
7. Bonita R, Beaglehole R. Stroke prevention. *WHO Bulletin*. 1997;75(5):411–418.
8. Stinear CM. Prediction of recovery after stroke: advances in biomarkers. *Lancet Neurol*. 2017;16(10):826–836.
9. Teasell R, et al. Evidence-Based Review of Stroke Rehabilitation. 2020.
10. Caplan LR. *Caplan's Stroke: A Clinical Approach*. 4th ed. Cambridge University Press, 2009.