

ETYMOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF PHYTONOMIC TERMS IN ENGLISH AND UZBEK

Nuraliyeva Zarina Panji kizi

Termez State Pedagogical institute

1st course Master`student.<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15115974>

Abstract. This article explores the etymological roots of phytonomic terms in both the English and Uzbek languages. Phytonomic terms refer to the names and classifications of plants, which are deeply influenced by linguistic and cultural factors. By examining these terms, the article highlights the historical, morphological, and semantic evolution of plant-related terminology in both languages. The study not only traces the linguistic origins of plant names but also compares the similarities and differences in how these names have developed in two different linguistic traditions: English and Uzbek. Through this comparison, the article aims to enrich the understanding of plant nomenclature in both languages.

Key words: Etymology, Phytonomic terms, English, Uzbek, Plant nomenclature, Linguistic roots, Cross-linguistic comparison, Semantic evolution.

ЭТИМОЛОГИЧЕСКИЙ АНАЛИЗ ФИТОНОМИЧЕСКИХ ТЕРМИНОВ НА
АНГЛИЙСКОМ И УЗБЕКСКОМ ЯЗЫКАХ

Аннотация. В этой статье исследуются этимологические корни фитономических терминов на английском и узбекском языках. Фитономические термины относятся к названиям и классификациям растений, на которые глубокое влияние оказывают лингвистические и культурные факторы. Изучая эти термины, статья подчеркивает историческую, морфологическую и семантическую эволюцию терминологии, связанной с растениями, на обоих языках. Исследование не только прослеживает лингвистическое происхождение названий растений, но и сравнивает сходства и различия в том, как эти названия развивались в двух разных языковых традициях: английской и узбекской. С помощью этого сравнения статья стремится обогатить понимание номенклатуры растений на обоих языках.

Ключевые слова: этимология, фитономические термины, английский, узбекский, номенклатура растений, лингвистические корни, кросс-лингвистическое сравнение, семантическая эволюция.

Etymology, the study of the origin of words and their evolution, plays an essential role in understanding the development of languages and their cultural contexts. Phytonomic terms, referring to the names of plants, are a unique category of terms influenced not only by biological factors but also by linguistic and historical contexts.

This article examines the etymological evolution of plant-related terminology in two distinct languages-English and Uzbek. The primary aim is to uncover the historical roots, cultural influences, and semantic shifts within the names of plants in these languages.

The study is significant in the broader context of cross-linguistic research, as it helps us understand the way languages categorize and name plants, reflecting both the linguistic and cultural diversity inherent in human societies. The analysis provides valuable insights into how English and Uzbek speakers have constructed their plant nomenclature over centuries.

Historical and Cultural Context of Phytonomic Terms. Phytonomic terms are more than just labels for plants; they are steeped in the histories and cultures of the societies that used them.

For example, many of the plant names in both English and Uzbek reflect agricultural practices and the plants' importance in daily life, medicine, and food. In ancient times, plants played a significant role in rituals, folk medicine, and local diets. This close relationship with plants helped shape the linguistic development of plant names.

For instance, many plant names in both languages are derived from their perceived properties or uses. In English, names like *chamomile* come from the Greek word *khamaimēlon* (meaning “ground apple”), referring to the apple-like fragrance of the plant. Similarly, in Uzbek, the term *sog‘om* (referring to a type of herb used for medicinal purposes) directly relates to its healing properties, illustrating a practical connection between language and the environment.

The Influence of Ancient Civilizations on Phytonomic Terminology. Both English and Uzbek have been significantly influenced by ancient civilizations, particularly through the spread of scientific knowledge. The Greek and Roman empires had a profound impact on Western languages, including English. When Latin and Greek scholars developed systems for categorizing and naming plants, their influence permeated into English. This is seen not only in everyday plant names but also in the scientific names of plants, many of which are based on Latin or Greek.

Conversely, the Islamic Golden Age, marked by significant contributions to botany, particularly in the Middle East, had an enduring influence on languages in Central Asia, including Uzbek. Scholars such as Ibn al-Baytar and Al-Dinawari documented and classified numerous plants and medicinal herbs, and their work was translated into Persian and later disseminated into Turkic languages. As a result, Uzbek shares a rich repository of plant names with Persian and Arabic due to this historical knowledge transfer.

Regional Differences in Phytonomic Terms. English and Uzbek both have regional variations in plant names. In English, this is particularly evident in the different terms used in American and British English for the same plants.

For instance, "tomato" in American English is called "tomato" in British English, but in some regions of the UK, it is referred to as a "love apple," which is a term dating back to earlier centuries when the tomato was first introduced to Europe.

In Uzbek, there are also regional variations, particularly in dialects spoken across different regions of Uzbekistan. In some areas, the name for a particular plant may differ slightly, reflecting the local culture and agriculture of that region. For instance, the name *qirqbosh* is used in one region to refer to a particular type of medicinal herb, while in another region, it is known as *qirqboz*.

These regional variations in plant names highlight how deeply tied language is to geography, local practices, and regional identities. Local dialects influence how plants are classified and named, often based on unique cultural practices or the specific environment in which the plants are found.

English, being a Germanic language, has borrowed extensively from Latin and Greek in terms of its scientific lexicon, especially in the fields of botany and medicine. The etymology of plant names in English often reflects the influence of these ancient languages. For instance, many plant names are derived from Latin, which was the language of early European botanists and scholars.

A few examples:

- **Rose** (from Latin *rosa*): The name "rose" in English traces back to Latin, which itself borrowed from the Greek *ῥόδον* (*rhódon*).
- **Tulip** (from Turkish *tulipán*): The name "tulip" comes from the Turkish word *tulipán*, which was derived from the Persian word *dulband*, meaning "turban," referring to the flower's shape.

In addition to Latin and Greek influences, English plant names have also absorbed terms from various other languages due to trade, exploration, and colonization, including Arabic, French, and even indigenous languages. This linguistic diversity reflects the global exchange of plants and knowledge, which has shaped the botanical lexicon of English.

Uzbek, as a Turkic language, exhibits a different etymological trajectory for plant names.

The roots of many plant names in Uzbek can be traced back to Turkic, Arabic, and Persian influences. While Uzbek shares some historical influences with Persian and Arabic due to centuries of cultural exchange, the language retains its Turkic roots for many common plant names.

A few examples:

- **Gul** (from Persian *gol*): The word "gul" meaning "flower" in Uzbek has its origins in Persian, where *gol* carries the same meaning.

- **Sabo** (from Arabic □□□□□): The word for a type of plant, *sabo*, comes from Arabic, illustrating the deep historical relationship between the Uzbek and Arabic languages in terms of plant nomenclature.

Unlike English, which primarily borrows from Latin and Greek for scientific terminology, Uzbek's plant names tend to reflect the region's historical interactions with Central Asian, Persian, and Arabic cultures. The linguistic evolution of these terms also points to the rich agricultural heritage of Uzbekistan, where plants were central to the economy and cultural life.

By comparing English and Uzbek phytonomic terms, we can observe several interesting patterns. For example, both languages show significant borrowing from ancient languages like Latin and Persian. However, while English has absorbed a variety of plant names from Greek, Latin, and later European languages, Uzbek's plant vocabulary retains stronger influences from its Turkic roots and regional contacts with Persian and Arabic-speaking populations.

Additionally, the semantic shifts in plant names offer fascinating insights. In English, the scientific names of plants are often derived from Latin or Greek roots that describe the plant's appearance or properties (e.g., *Magnolia* from the Latin name of the French botanist Pierre Magnol). In contrast, Uzbek plant names often hold more direct connections to the practical uses and cultural meanings of the plants, reflecting the agricultural and herbal traditions that are prevalent in Central Asia.

Conclusion

The etymological analysis of phytonomic terms in English and Uzbek offers valuable insights into the linguistic and cultural underpinnings of plant nomenclature. Both languages demonstrate a rich history of borrowing and adaptation, but their plant names are shaped by different historical and geographical factors. English's reliance on Latin and Greek, coupled with global linguistic interactions, contrasts with Uzbek's Turkic foundation and regional influences from Arabic and Persian.

Through such studies, we not only gain a deeper understanding of the linguistic evolution of plant names but also a greater appreciation for the diverse cultural and historical forces that shape our perception and classification of the natural world.

REFERENCES

1. **Brown, W. (1994).** *The Etymology of Botanical Terms*. Cambridge University Press.
2. **Khan, M. T. (2008).** *Plant Names in the Turkic Languages*. Tashkent: Uzbekistan Academy of Sciences.
3. **Tucker, W. (2011).** *The Influence of Latin and Greek on English Botanical Nomenclature*. *Journal of Historical Linguistics*, 24(2), 123-139.

4. **Erymanov, P. A. (2010).** *The Etymological Dictionary of Persian Plant Names.* Tehran: Iranian Cultural Publishing House.
5. **Saidova, F. (2005).** *Lexical Borrowings in Uzbek from Arabic and Persian.* Tashkent University Press.