

RHETORICAL POLEMICS AND INTELLECTUAL CONFRONTATION IN
CLASSICAL ANTIQUITY

Roza Yurevna Saakyan

Asia International University

Department of History and Philology.

Email: elizabetrozaakram@gmail.com<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15448755>

Abstract. *This paper explores the structural, rhetorical, and philosophical aspects of polemics during the Classical Antiquity period, focusing on its intellectual, political, and social functions. Drawing on primary sources from Aristotle, Plato, Cicero, and Sophist traditions, it analyzes the role of logical reasoning, dialectical methods, and persuasive rhetoric in shaping public discourse. The article also examines the long-term influence of ancient polemics on medieval scholasticism and modern debate practices.*

Keywords: *Polemics, rhetoric, dialectics, logic, Ancient Greece, Ancient Rome, argumentation, public discourse.*

Introduction. The origins of polemics trace back to Classical Antiquity, a time when structured verbal confrontation became a hallmark of intellectual and political life. In the public forums of Athens and the senates of Rome, discourse evolved not only as a tool for persuasion but as a means of establishing philosophical and ethical truths. Polemics became formalized through rhetorical education, dialectical training, and judicial oratory, laying the foundation for Western argumentative tradition. This study investigates the multidimensional nature of polemics in antiquity, its pedagogical implications, and its enduring legacy.

Philosophical Polemics: Schools of Reason and Persuasion

Ancient polemics were deeply rooted in the philosophical schools of thought, where dialogue was employed not merely as a communication method, but as a path toward intellectual refinement.

Socrates and the Dialectical Inquiry

Socrates pioneered a method of questioning—elenchus—that laid the groundwork for Platonic dialogues. His method sought contradictions in interlocutors' beliefs, guiding them to self-realization or aporia. In Plato's *Apology*, Socrates dismantles superficial wisdom through layered inquiries, exemplifying polemics as both a moral and intellectual endeavor.

The Sophists: Persuasion over Truth

Contrasting with Socratic ethics, the Sophists, notably Protagoras and Gorgias, viewed polemics as a skill of influence rather than a truth-seeking tool. Gorgias's *Encomium of Helen* demonstrates this, defending Helen of Troy with rhetorical sleight, arguing from compulsion, persuasion, and divine will. Sophists taught rhetoric as power—an art detached from epistemology.

Aristotle's Classification of Argument

In *Topics*, Aristotle codified polemical forms into dialectical, rhetorical, demonstrative, and sophistical. This analytical structure distinguished genuine philosophical inquiry from fallacious or manipulative debate. His *Rhetoric* emphasized ethos, pathos, and logos—establishing a framework still used in argumentation theory.

Political Polemics: Democracy, Oratory, and Republics

Political life in ancient democracies and republics thrived on polemics. It was both a democratic right and duty to engage in public deliberation, especially in Athenian assemblies and Roman courts.

Demosthenes and Democratic Discourse

In Athens, polemics reached public squares. Demosthenes' Philippics are exemplary: his speeches against Philip II of Macedon employed impassioned appeals and logical foresight, urging collective resistance. His fusion of urgency, logic, and civic duty shows polemics as a defense of democratic ideals.

Isocrates and Ethical Rhetoric

Unlike the Sophists, Isocrates pursued rhetorical education as a means to shape morally responsible citizens. He critiqued the adversarial nature of polemics and emphasized harmony and societal benefit—a notable divergence from polemics as pure confrontation.

Cicero and Republican Oratory

Roman polemics, especially in the legal and senatorial domains, matured through Cicero. His Catilinarian Orations remain masterpieces of invective and forensic rhetoric. Opening with “Quo usque tandem abutere, Catilina, patientia nostra?” Cicero indicts a traitor with calculated rhetorical shock, galvanizing the Senate into action. His blending of moral appeal with legal precision illustrates Roman polemics as both performative and strategic.

Logic and Argumentation Structures

Ancient polemics were undergirded by formal logic, a legacy particularly shaped by Aristotle and later adapted by Roman rhetoricians.

Aristotelian Syllogism and Enthymeme

Aristotle's logical instruments—syllogisms and enthymemes—enabled speakers to construct clear and compelling arguments. A syllogism offered deductive certainty, while the enthymeme, common in public speech, allowed brevity and persuasion by assuming shared premises.

Plato's Maieutics and Dialectical Layers

In contrast, Plato's dialectic embraced contradiction as a tool for truth. His method of maieutics, or intellectual midwifery, is evident in dialogues like *Protagoras* and *Republic*, where Socratic inquiry forces the opponent into logical corners, exposing flawed beliefs and stimulating critical thinking.

Rhetoric as Structured Performance

Polemics in antiquity was not spontaneous quarrel but often a carefully prepared performance. Classical orators memorized rhetorical patterns—*inventio*, *dispositio*, *elocutio*, *memoria*, *actio*—forming the five canons of rhetoric that governed persuasive expression.

Functions of Polemics in Antiquity

Ancient polemics were not monolithic; they performed multiple societal and intellectual roles.

Epistemological Function: Platonic dialogues and Aristotelian treatises advanced logical reasoning and set norms for rational dispute.

Political Function: Oratory served to engage citizens, deliberate laws, and legitimize or resist power.

Pedagogical Function: Rhetorical training in antiquity emphasized debate as a method of education.

Cultural Function: Polemics mirrored societal values—honor, logic, eloquence—and shaped public virtue.

Legacy of Ancient Polemics

The influence of ancient polemics transcended its time. Medieval scholasticism adopted Aristotelian dialectics in theological debates. The Renaissance revived Cicero's oratorical ideals.

In modern academia and democratic discourse, the structure, ethics, and strategy of polemics remain foundational. Parliamentary debates, academic disputations, and public intellectualism echo ancient traditions.

Conclusion. Classical antiquity forged the art of polemics as both a vehicle of truth and a weapon of persuasion. From Socratic dialogues to Roman courtrooms, polemics evolved as a defining force in intellectual history. The techniques and principles established by ancient thinkers continue to inform how societies argue, persuade, and reason today. By examining these roots, we better understand the evolution of discourse and the enduring relevance of rhetorical confrontation.

REFERENCES

1. Vyazemsky, P. A. On the Art of Rhetoric. Moscow: Nauka, 1996.
2. Plato. Apology of Socrates. In Collected Works, Vol. 1. Moscow: Mysl, 1994.
3. Aristotle. Topics. In Collected Works, Vol. 4. St. Petersburg: SPbSU Publishing House, 2000.
4. Mineeva, S. A. The Art of Dispute in Ancient Philosophy. St. Petersburg: Piter, 2009.
5. Cicero. Speeches Against Catiline. In Collected Works, Vol. 3. Moscow: Nauka, 1993.
6. Aristotle. Rhetoric. In Collected Works, Vol. 2. Moscow: Nauka, 1983.
7. Plato. Protagoras. In Collected Works, Vol. 1. Moscow: Mysl, 1994.
8. Kennedy, George A. Classical Rhetoric and Its Christian and Secular Tradition from Ancient to Modern Times. Chapel Hill: University of North Carolina Press, 1999.
9. Yurevna, S. R. (2024). THE FORMATION OF THE PHILOSOPHICAL AND AESTHETIC VIEWS OF THEODORE DREISER, A PROMINENT REPRESENTATIVE OF NATURALISM. Western European Journal of Linguistics and Education, 2(5), 171-174.
10. Yurevna, S. R., & Djalilovna, J. L. (2023). IRONY IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE FICTION AS AN EXPRESSION OF THE AUTHOR'S WORLDVIEW. Intent Research Scientific Journal, 2(10), 135-140.
11. Yurevna, S. R., & Jalilovna, J. L. (2024). A COMPREHENSIVE EXAMINATION OF IRONY IN THEODORE DREISER'S "THE FINANCIER". Ta'limda raqamli texnologiyalarni tadbiq etishning zamonaviy tendensiyalari va rivojlanish omillari, 28(1), 143-146.
12. Yurevna, S. R. (2023). Irony in English-Language Fiction as an Expression of the Author's Worldview on the Example of Theodore Dreiser's Creativity. Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture, 4(4), 42-46.
13. Mavlonova, U. K., & Saakyan, R. Y. (2023). Characteristics of Irony and its Expression as a Component Part of Author Modality. International Journal on Integrated Education, 6(4), 110-114.

14. Yurevna, S. R., & Djalilovna, J. L. (2023). IRONY IN ENGLISH LANGUAGE FICTION AS AN EXPRESSION OF THE AUTHOR'S WORLDVIEW. *Intent Research Scientific Journal*, 2(10), 135-140.
15. Саакян, Р. (2024). Полемические идеи Абдурауфа Фитрата в контексте джадидизма: Вклад в социальные реформы и литературу Узбекистана». *Medicine, pedagogy and technology: theory and practice*, 2(11), 88-95.
16. Саакян, Р. (2024). «Историческое развитие жанров памфлета и полемики в Европе: от средневековья до эпохи Просвещения». *Medicine, pedagogy and technology: theory and practice*, 2(10), 199-204.
17. Саакян, Р. (2024). ОПРЕДЕЛЕНИЕ И ОСОБЕННОСТИ ЖАНРА ПОЛЕМИКИ В ЛИТЕРАТУРЕ. *Medicine, pedagogy and technology: theory and practice*, 2(12), 168-175