

IMMEDIATE AND LONG-TERM RESULTS AND PROSPECTS OF RECONSTRUCTIVE AND RESTORATIVE SURGERY OF THE LIVER AND EXTRA HEPATIC BILIARY TRACTS

Turakulov U.N.

Akbarov M.M.

Saatov R.R.

Republican Specialized Center of Surgery named after acad. V. Vakhidov, Tashkent Medical Academy,. Development Center professional qualifications of medical workers at the Ministry of Health of the Republic of Uzbekistan.

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.16758785>

Increased frequency of gallstone disease morbidity, as well as improving biliary tract surgery in recent decades have led to a significant increase in the number of surgical interventions performed. In Uzbekistan around 7-8 thousand operations are performed each year on the organs of bile-excreting system, about 400 thousand operations are performed in the CIS countries and, according to WHO, about 2.5 million - worldwide. Since operations on biliary tract today are performed in almost all hospitals by differently-skilled surgeons, this leads to an increased frequency of various complications, including iatrogenic injuries, which are responsible for the formation of cicatricial strictures of extrahepatic bile ducts [1;2;5;9].

Treatment of patients with injuries and cicatricial strictures of the bile ducts is one of the most difficult problems of biliary tract surgery. Thus, according to a number of authors, the frequency of bile duct injury is 0,2-2,8% of the total number of operations on the biliary system, and mortality at reconstructive and restorative interventions reaches 15-50%, post-operative stricture recurrences occur between 5,8% and 35% of cases [1;6;7;11].

In 95-97% of cases the cicatricial stricture formation is a consequence of iatrogenic bile duct injury, inaccurate surgical manipulations on the duct during the execution of cholecystectomy. The same problem can be caused by stricture development at the choledochotomy hole at application of rough suture material and non-atraumatic needles. In addition, cicatrices choledoch may occur in case of the wrong choice of the diameter of drainage, injury at its removal, at fixation of the drainage with nonabsorbable sutures or rough suturing of choledoch wall to drainage pipe. Rarely strictures are congenital in nature or occur as a result of primary sclerosing cholangitis [2;3;5;14;15;19;20].

One of the reasons for damage of bile ducts is the misperception of their anatomical structure because of edema or infiltration hepatoduodenal zone, anomalies of cystic or hepatic ducts, lack of experience of the surgeon.

In recent years cicatricial strictures of hepaticcholedoch occur in connection with the widespread technique of laparoscopic removal of gall bladder, especially at the stage of learning of this technique. Thus, the frequency of iatrogenic bile duct injuries has been stable in recent decades at 0,05-0,2% rate, and application of laparoscopic cholecystectomy increased this number to to 0,3 - 3% rate [6;7].

As a result of thermal burn during an allocation of the biliary cyst cervix or hepaticcholedoch wall injury during postoperative period a bile efflux may occur and, subsequently, develop into cicatricial stricture of the bile ducts. In addition, careless imposition of a clips on the cystic duct or cystic artery may lead to partial or complete compression of the bile duct, especially in congenital anomalies of the bile ducts.

Until recently even the leading hepatologist surgeons believed that the greatest difficulty of surgical interventions are observed at the treatment of patients with cicatricial strictures of the bile ducts [1, 9, 23]. However, recent decades show that together with the significant development in medical science and surgical technology, including in hepatobiliary surgery, the most complex interference have occurred in liver and extrahepatic bile ducts in a wide variety of diseases. The development of such techniques as liver transplantation, surgery of tumors and cysts of the liver, and endovisual and radioendovascular surgery, application of new surgical materials, along with the undoubted success and renewed hopes also poses a number of challenges related to eliminating the inevitable complications.

Paying tribute to the thorough study and development of reconstructive hepatobiliary surgery it must be noted that many issues in this direction are far from being resolved, and some of them are in their infancy. This is especially true for iatrogenic cicatricial injuries of bile ducts [23, 30, 39, 40].

The frequency of bile duct injury during open cholecystectomy is approximately 1:400 [37]. Similar frequency was observed at laparoscopic cholecystectomy (1:200-1:400) [36, 40]. According to various authors mortality at reconstructive and restorative interventions reaches 15-50% [16, 30, 40].

Leading hepatologist surgeons insist that using the principle of prevention of liver and biliary tract diseases and prevention of development of severe consequences of surgical interventions in this anatomically sensitive area, it is possible to achieve the lowest mortality [21, 31]. However, unfortunately, the leading hepatology centers continue to accumulate the new clinical data on the treatment of patients with bile duct strictures, continuously and critically reinterpreting views on key issues of this problem.

Restoring the adequate bile secretion is a great difficulty. The main causes of the difficulty are gross violations of topographic and anatomical relationships and commissural processes at the gates of the liver, severe general condition of patients caused by prolonged mechanical jaundice and recurrent purulent cholangitis [12, 19].

The issues of tactics of surgical treatment of this most severe group of patients has repeatedly been the subject of discussion at numerous conferences and symposia. As a result, a significant progress has been achieved in reconstructive surgery of biliary tract associated primarily with active introduction of modern methods and radioendovascular endoscopic surgery, application of precision technology and biomaterials [18, 38]. However, despite this fact, reconstructive operations on bile ducts in 4,5-25% of cases are accompanied by the development of constrictions of the biliary-enteric and bilio-biliary anastomoses [19], repudiating the results of reconstructive surgery and exacerbating the severity of the condition of patients. In the long-term periods the primary reason of unsatisfactory results of reconstructive operations on bile-excreting system is reflux - cholangitis, leading to restenosis and cholangiolitic abscesses [3, 13, 30]. Frequency of cholangiolitic strictures in the long-term period of observation is 8,4-28,3% [23]. Smaller percent in the structure of complications is occupied by relapse cholelithiasis, cholangiogenic abscesses of the liver, biliary sepsis.

In this regard, the issues such as the choice of optimal technology of reconstructive restorative interventions, indications for trans hepatic bile duct draining, defining the role and place for endoscopic methods of correction remain disputable.

Thus we must recognize that only the study of long-term results of treatment of this category of patients can provide an objective assessment of the correctness of the chosen direction.

Diagnostic activities at patients with cicatricial strictures of the bile ducts are aimed at establishing a causal factor, the level of the stricture, the length of the affected area, the definition of the bile duct condition above and below the level of destruction.

To streamline the terminology describing the level of bile duct strictures many classifications have been suggested. So far, the most attractive and easy to use is the classification by H. Bismuth (1982), whereby cicatricial strictures are divided into five types: type 1-2 - low strictures and type 3-4-5 - high strictures. However, the author does not address the subtle details of the location of the site of narrowing in the proximal segments in the gate area of the liver, which drastically reduces the possibility of using this classification in selecting the optimal method of reconstruction of the bile ducts above the bifurcation.

The most feasible and practical is the classification by E.I. Galperin and N.F. Kuzovlev [9], which divides cicatricial strictures into:

- Strictures of type 0 (zero type) (the free segment of the common hepatic duct less than 1 cm or stricture confluent)
 1. Bifurcational
 2. Sub-bifurcational (subconfluent)
 3. Monoductal
 4. Biductal
- Strictures of type 1 (the free segment of the common hepatic duct is of the length of 1 to 2 cm)
- Strictures of type 2 (the free segment of the common hepatic duct is of the length of not less than 2 cm).

Besides consideration of the levels of layout and extension of choledoch strictures some authors propose to add to the classification the clinical factors. Ratchik V.M. et al. [24] propose a modified classification by Shalimov A.A. [29] taking into account the clinical and anatomical features. The authors by the etiology divide iatrogenic strictures (surgical history), inflammatory strictures (cholelithiasis, chronic pancreatitis, peptic ulcer, etc.).

By localization: low (supraduodenal part of the choledoch), medium (hepaticocholedoch area), high (lobar hepatic ducts - the gate area of the liver).

By prevalence of duct lesion: 1st degree - less than 2 cm, 2nd degree - less than 3 cm, 3rd degree - more than 3 cm.

By cholestasis intensity: partial (transitory bilirubinemia of up to 50 micromole/l, moderately increased alkaline phosphatase), total (refractory bilirubinemia of more than 50 micromole/l).

By clinical course: stage of formation of cicatricial stricture (narrowing of the ducts from 1/3 to 2/3 of the diameter) - is characterized by cholangitis occurrences, intermittent jaundice, stage of evident signs (narrowing of ducts over 2/3 of the diameter) - characterized by jaundice, skin itching, cholangitis, multiple organ failure [8].

To date ERPHG is considered the optimum method of investigation of extrahepatic bile duct. This method allows to fully investigate all segments of bile-excreting system. If in some cases ERPHG is impossible, then it is complemented by percutaneous transhepatic

cholangiography (PTC) which significantly supplements the information on the status of the bile ducts.

Great value in the study of bile duct strictures is also contributed by magnetic resonance imaging, multislice computer tomography with biliary tract contrasting.

Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography, being a non-invasive technique of visualizing the bile ducts, has gained popularity in recent years as an accurate method of assessing biliary anomalies [12, 32].

Thus, it is assumed that the successful solution of the issues of reconstructive surgery of biliary tract is directly dependent on the quality of preoperative diagnostics, detailed study of the nature, mechanisms of development of pathological processes. Along with this, in many cases the results of a diagnostic method are studied beyond their pathogenetic connection and interdependence. This raises a number of discussions and creates certain difficulties in formulating an optimal solution.

Currently hepatologist surgeons are mentioning several problems associated with surgical correction of cicatricial stricture of the bile ducts:

- 1 – possibility of recovery operations through the implementation of bilio-biliary anastomoses or surgical intervention using autovenous inserts or allogeneic materials;
- 2 - the need of application of a frame drainage for the imposition of BDA;
- 3 - types of frame drainages, duration of their presence in the biliary tract, the diameter of the tube and the material for its production;
- 4 - the advantages of using for jejunal BDA or KDP;
- 5 – choice of the optimal method of reconstructive and restorative operations at duct injury and the timing and phasing of these operations;
- 6 - the role and place of radiological and endoscopic techniques in correction of cicatricial stricture of the bile ducts [4, 7, 11, 21].

Each case faced by a surgeon in such situations during the operation is strictly individual, forcing to choose the optimal way out of a number of variants of surgical interventions [14, 15].

In recent years for improving immediate and long-term results of the operations and prevention of digestive-biliary reflux various operations are offered with the formation of the valves in the area of anastomosis between the bile ducts and various segments of the gastrointestinal tract.

This idea still attracts the attention of many surgeons and requires new experimental clinical studies. This, apparently, reasons the attempts of antireflux surgery and the development of so-called "areflux" BDA with the formation of valves between the bile ducts and small intestine.

At the same time, the role of pathologic reflux is still under discussion [17, 31].

One of the promising directions in reconstructive surgery of cicatricial strictures is application of different materials and allogeneic biotransplantants.

Surgical interventions, often performed at elderly patients with severe concomitant pathology under emergency indications, are accompanied by a large number of complications, and the mortality reaches 15-30%.

In this connection in recent years a growing interest is arisen by non-invasive methods of restoration of bile secretion and, primarily, endoscopic interventions. At present endoscopic methods of diagnostics and treatment play an important role at hepatopancreobiliary system diseases. With regard to therapeutic possibilities of endoscopic methods, along with the

traditional methods sanitization of hepaticocholedoch and restoration of an adequate passage of bile, such as EPST, nasobiliar drainage, clinical practice widely uses new endoscopic interventions: mechanical lithotripsy, duodenobiliar drainage of hepaticocholedoch using transpapillar endoprosthesis, diathermic widening of a narrowed BDA and cicatricial strictures of the bile ducts [27, 35].

Application of these methods allows to easily and quickly prepare the patients with symptoms of MFs, purulent cholangitis, PPN for the upcoming scheduled or deferred surgical interventions. In most cases the above endoscopic interventions may be an alternative to surgical interventions [26, 27].

To date it became apparent that despite the introduction of high-tech, minimum-invasive diagnostic and treatment methods into surgical hepatology, the progress in reconstructive surgery of biliary tract, only the study of long-term results of treatment of this category of patients can provide an objective assessment of the correctness of the chosen direction.

Bibliography

1. Агаев Б.А., Атаев Р.М., Новрузов Ш.А. Новые направления в реконструктивно-восстановительной хирургии желчных протоков. Книга. Материалы 10 Юбилейной международной конференции хирургов гепатологов 2003 г. г. Москва, стр 76.
2. Алтиев Б.К. Диагностика и лечение повреждений, посттравматических стриктур и наружных свищей желчных протоков. Ташкент. Дисс. докт. 1999. С. 266.
3. Атанасов Д., Атанасова Д. Модифицированная методика наложения ГЭА. Хирургия. 1989. №7. С 28-31.
4. Бебуришвили А.Г. Восстановительные и реконструктивные операции на желчевыводящих протоках. Анналы хирургической гепатологии. Т8. №2. 2003. С 80.
5. Вахидов В.В., Алтиев Б.К. Повторные оперативные вмешательства при ятрогенных повреждениях внепеченочных желчных протоков. Вопросы реконструктивной и восстановительной хирургии. Тез. доклад. Респ. конф. (12-13 октября 1994 г) г. Ташкент - 1994. С 154-155.
6. Вахидов А.В., Алтиев Б.К., Акбаров М.М., Икрамов А.И. Тактика хирургического лечения больных с послеоперационными стриктурами внепеченочных желчных протоков. Книга "Актуальные вопросы реконструктивной и восстановительной хирургии". Ташкент. 1996. С 141-142.
7. Вишневский В.А., Кубышкин В.А. Особенности хирургической тактики при повреждениях желчных протоков во время ЛХЭК. Материалы 10 Юбилейной международной конференции хирургов гепатологов 2003 г. г. Москва стр 85-86.
8. Газиев Р.Р. Оптимизация тактики реконструктивно-восстановительных операций при «высоких» травмах и рубцовых стриктурах желчных протоков. Дисс. канд. Ташкент 2004. С 21.
9. Гальперин Э.И., Кузовлев Н.Ф., Шаров А.В. Доброкачественные стриктуры желчных протоков. Хирургия - 1986. №10. С 21-26.
10. Гальперин Э.И., Кузовлев Н.Ф. Рубцовые стриктуры печеночных протоков в области их слияния (стриктура 0). Хирургия. 1995. №1. С 26-31.
11. Гальперин Э.И. Выбор дренажа при высокой стриктуре печеночных протоков. Актуальные проблемы патологии и хирургии желчевыводящих путей. Свердловск. 1999. С 144-145.

12. Гальперин Э.И., Чевокин А.Ю., Дюжева Т.Г. Рубцовые стриктуры желчных протоков. Материалы 10 Юбилейной международной конференции хирургов гепатологов 2003 г. г. Москва, стр 86.
13. Гуликян Ш.Б. Профилактика и лечение рефлюкс-холангита. Дисс. канд. мед. наук. Томск. 2001.
14. Дадвани С.А., Лотов А.Н., Мусаев Г.Х., Машинский А.А. Малоинвазивные технологии в лечении холангита у больных с синдромом механической желтухи. Книга. Современные инвазивные и неинвазивные методы диагностики. Москва. 2000. С 290.
15. Житникова К.С., Зюбина Е.Н. Восстановительные и реконструктивные операции на желчевыводящих протоках. Хирургия. 1991. №11. С 24-28.
16. Копчак В.М., Копчак С.К. Хирургическое лечение и немедикаментозная реабилитация больных со стриктурами желчных протоков. Актуальные вопросы реконструктивной и восстановительной хирургии. Киев. 1996. С 177-179.
17. Котельникова Л.П., Черкасов В.А. Травматические повреждения ГХ. Материалы 10 Юбилейной международной конференции хирургов гепатологов 2003 г. г. Москва стр 97.
18. Кузовлев Н.Ф. Рубцовая стриктура печеночных протоков. Прецизионный желчно-кишечный анастомоз без дренажа-каркаса. Анналы хирургической гепатологии. М.МАИК Наука.1996. Т 1. С 108-114.
19. Малярчук В.И., Климов А.Е., Пауткин Ю.Ф., Иванов В.А. К вопросу о хирургическом лечении ятрогенных повреждений внепеченочных желчных протоков. Материалы 10 Юбилейной международной конференции хирургов гепатологов 2003 г. г. Москва, стр 102.
20. Мовчун А.А., Тимошин А.Д., Ратникова Н.П., Мовчун В.А. Лечение рубцовых стриктур и свищей26, желчных протоков. Анналы хирургической гепатологии. Том5. №2. Москва.2000. С 126-127.
21. Назыров Ф.Г., Акилов Х.А., Алтиев Б.К., Каримов М.Э. Повреждение внепеченочных желчных протоков при резекциях желудка. Материалы конференции. Харьков. 1999. С 69-70.
22. Назыров Ф.Г., Акилов Х.А., Алтиев Б.К., Струцкий Л.П., Туракулов У.Н. Диагностика и лечение интраоперационных повреждений и посттравматических стриктур желчных протоков. Анналы хирургической гепатологии. Том 5. №2. Москва. 2000. С 126-127.
23. Назыров Ф.Г., Асабаев А.Ш., Музаффаров Ф.У. Вопросы диагностики и лечения больных с рефлюкс-холангитом после реконструктивных вмешательств на билиарном тракте. Журнал Хирургия Узбекистана. №1. 2004. С 75-78.
24. Ратчик В.М. Закрытые повреждения желчного пузыря и внепеченочных желчных протоков. Хирургия 2003. №7. С 55-59.
25. Сарсенбаев Б.Х., Фомин В.Н. Лечение доброкачественных стриктур желчных протоков. Материалы 10 Юбилейной международной конференции хирургов гепатологов 2003 г. г. Москва стр. 112.
26. Содиков Х.Т. Эндоскопическая диагностика и коррекция послеоперационной патологии желчевыводящих путей. Дисс. канд. мед. наук. Ташкент. 1996. С 131.

27. Тимошин А.Д., Завенян З.С., Ратникова Н.П. Эндоскопический способ замены погруженных ТД желчных протоков. Хирургия.1986. №3. С 110-112.
28. Тимошин А.Д., Мовчун А.А., Ратникова Н.П. Диагностика и лечение рубцовых стриктур и свищей желчных протоков. Анналы хирургической гепатологии. М. 1998. Том3. №2. С.79-87.
29. Шалимов А.А., Шалимов С.А., Ничитайло М.Е., Кончак В.М. Принципы диагностики и хирургического лечения рубцовых стриктур желчных протоков. Материалы симпозиума с международным участием. М.1988. С 84-87.
30. Шалимов А.А., Копчак В.М., Сердюк В.П., Хомяк Н.В. Хирургическое лечение рубцовых стриктур желчных протоков. Анналы хирургической гепатологии. Том5. №1. Москва. 2000. С 151-152.
31. Шерлок. Ш, Дж. Дули. Заболевания печени и желчных путей. Москва. –ГЭОТАР – МЕД 2002. – 860с.
32. Bret PM, Reinhold C. Magnetic resonance cholangio-pancreatography. Endoscopy 1997.V29.P72-86.
33. Carrillo E, Platz A, Miller F, et al. Non-operative management of blunt hepatic trauma. Br J Surg 1998.V85.P6-8.
34. Fletcher DR, Hobbs MS, Tan P, et al. Complications of cholecystectomy: risks of the laparoscopic approach and protective effects of operative cholangiography: a population-based study. Ann Surg 1999.V229.P449-457.
35. Jeng KS. Treatment of intrahepatic biliary strictures associated with hepatolithiasis. Hepatogastroenterology 1997.V44.P342-51.
36. Mc Mahon AJ. et al. Hepatico-Jejuno-Duodenoplasty for Biliary Stricture. Int. Surg. 1995.V69.P331-334.
37. McSherry CK. Percutaneous Dilatation in primary sclerosing cholangitis two experiences. Amer. J. Roentgenol. 1989.V137.P603-605.
38. Padillo F J, Gallardo J M, Naranjo A, et al. Changes in the pattern of visceral proteins after internal biliary drainage in patients with obstructive jaundice. EurJ Surg 1999.V165.P550-555.
39. Tocchi A, Maoni G, et al. Management of benign biliary strictures: biliary enteric anastomosis vs endoscopic stenting. Arch Surg 2000.V135.P153.
40. Wada S, Tamada K, Tomiyama T, Ohashi A, Utsunomiya K, Higashizawa T, et al. Intraductal ultrasonographic assessment of coagulation depth during endoscopic microwave coagulation therapy in a canine model. J Gastroenterol 2000. P35.