

DIAGNOSTIC CHALLENGES IN REVERSIBLE CEREBRAL VASOCONSTRICTION SYNDROME (RCVS)

Ataqo'ziyeva Nigora Baxtiyor qizi ¹
Yusupova Gavxaroy Baxtiyorjon qizi ²

Kokand University Andijan branch.

Teacher. Department of Human anatomy and Clinical and Pathological anatomy. ^{1,2}

Xat yozish uchun: E-mail ataqoziyevanigorm1@gmail.com ¹

Xat yozish uchun: E-mail yusupovagavxaroyy88@gmail.com ²

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18660363>

Abstract. *Reversible Cerebral Vasoconstriction Syndrome (RCVS) is a clinical condition characterized by sudden onset severe headache (thunderclap headache) and reversible constriction of cerebral arteries. Because its clinical presentation often mimics other serious neurological disorders, the diagnostic process is frequently complicated. RCVS may be confused with subarachnoid hemorrhage, central nervous system vasculitis, severe migraine variants, or arterial dissection [1–3]. This article analyzes the main diagnostic challenges of RCVS, evaluates the role of modern neuroimaging techniques, and highlights approaches aimed at improving diagnostic accuracy in clinical practice [4,5].*

Keywords: *Reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome (RCVS); thunderclap headache; cerebral vasospasm; magnetic resonance imaging; computed tomography angiography; differential diagnosis; acute severe headache.*

Introduction

Reversible Cerebral Vasoconstriction Syndrome (RCVS) represents an important yet frequently underrecognized entity in modern neurology. The disorder is characterized by multifocal, segmental, and reversible narrowing of the cerebral arteries, which typically resolves spontaneously within several weeks to three months after onset [2]. Although previously considered rare, growing awareness and advances in neuroimaging techniques have led to an increasing number of reported cases, suggesting that RCVS may be more common than historically assumed. Despite these advances, the syndrome remains diagnostically challenging due to its heterogeneous presentation and overlap with other acute neurological conditions.

The hallmark clinical feature of RCVS is a sudden and severe headache that reaches maximal intensity within seconds. This type of headache, commonly referred to as a thunderclap headache, is often described by patients as “the worst headache of my life.” The abrupt onset naturally raises immediate concern for life-threatening disorders such as subarachnoid hemorrhage, intracranial aneurysm rupture, or cervical artery dissection. As a result, emergency diagnostic protocols are typically initiated to exclude these critical conditions during the initial evaluation [3]. However, once hemorrhagic causes are ruled out, clinicians must remain vigilant, as the absence of early radiological abnormalities does not eliminate the possibility of RCVS.

Epidemiological studies indicate that RCVS most commonly affects individuals between 20 and 50 years of age and shows a clear female predominance [6]. Hormonal influences are believed to play a significant role, particularly in the postpartum period, which is recognized as one of the strongest risk factors for the syndrome. In addition to hormonal changes, several precipitating factors have been identified.

These include exposure to vasoactive substances such as selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors, triptans, nasal decongestants, immunosuppressive agents, and recreational drugs.

Sympathomimetic medications, cannabis use, and catecholamine-secreting tumors have also been implicated. Furthermore, intense physical exertion, sexual activity, emotional stress, and sudden increases in blood pressure may act as triggering events in susceptible individuals [4].

From a pathophysiological perspective, impaired regulation of cerebral vascular tone is widely regarded as the central mechanism underlying RCVS. The syndrome is thought to result from a transient disturbance in the balance between vasoconstrictive and vasodilatory forces within the cerebral circulation. Endothelial dysfunction may reduce nitric oxide availability, thereby promoting vasoconstriction. Concurrently, oxidative stress and inflammatory mediators may further disrupt vascular homeostasis. Increased sympathetic nervous system activity has also been proposed as a key contributor, leading to excessive arterial constriction and fluctuations in cerebral blood flow [1,5]. These processes can produce temporary perfusion abnormalities that, in some cases, progress to ischemic or hemorrhagic complications.

One of the major diagnostic difficulties lies in the significant overlap between RCVS symptoms and those of other cerebrovascular disorders. Conditions such as primary angiitis of the central nervous system, aneurysmal subarachnoid hemorrhage, cerebral venous thrombosis, and severe migraine variants may present with similar clinical features.

Moreover, vasoconstriction may not be detectable on early imaging studies, as arterial narrowing often evolves over time. Initial computed tomography angiography or magnetic resonance angiography can therefore appear normal, potentially leading to delayed or missed diagnosis.

For this reason, maintaining a high level of clinical suspicion is essential for timely recognition of the syndrome. Repeat vascular imaging is frequently necessary when symptoms persist or recur. Early identification of RCVS is particularly important because, although the condition is often self-limited, it can be associated with serious neurological complications, including ischemic stroke, intracerebral hemorrhage, cortical subarachnoid hemorrhage, and posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome. Enhancing physician awareness and understanding of the syndrome is therefore critical for improving diagnostic accuracy and optimizing patient outcomes.

Materials and Methods

This article was prepared through a comprehensive review of contemporary scientific literature. Peer-reviewed publications in neurology and radiology, including systematic reviews, meta-analyses, and clinical observational studies, were analyzed to identify the most relevant data regarding RCVS.

The selection criteria for sources included methodological rigor, sample size, clarity of diagnostic criteria, and clinical applicability. Particular attention was paid to studies evaluating the diagnostic value of neuroimaging modalities [5,7].

Comparative analysis was performed on the primary imaging techniques used in RCVS diagnosis, including magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography angiography (CTA), and digital subtraction angiography (DSA). The diagnostic utility of vessel wall imaging in differentiating RCVS from central nervous system vasculitis was also examined [6,8].

Additionally, research exploring the correlation between clinical manifestations and radiological findings was reviewed in order to summarize diagnostic algorithms that may facilitate earlier recognition of the syndrome.

Discussion

The diagnosis of RCVS requires a multidimensional approach integrating clinical evaluation, laboratory testing, and advanced imaging techniques. One of the greatest challenges is the urgent need to exclude other causes of thunderclap headache, particularly subarachnoid hemorrhage [3].

Neuroimaging plays a central role in confirming the diagnosis. CTA and MRI frequently demonstrate segmental narrowing of cerebral arteries. The classic angiographic appearance—alternating areas of constriction and dilation—is commonly referred to as the “string-of-beads” sign [5]. However, early imaging may appear normal in some patients, potentially delaying diagnosis.

Repeat imaging substantially improves diagnostic accuracy. When clinical suspicion persists, follow-up angiography within 1–3 weeks is strongly recommended, as vasoconstriction may become more evident over time [2]. Vessel wall MRI is particularly valuable in differentiating RCVS from vasculitis, since inflammatory wall thickening is typically absent in RCVS [6].

Although historically considered a benign condition, recent studies indicate that RCVS can be associated with serious complications, including ischemic stroke, intracerebral hemorrhage, cortical subarachnoid hemorrhage, and posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome [5,9].

Some patients may also experience transient cognitive impairment, attention deficits, and emotional lability, suggesting that the syndrome can have longer-term effects on quality of life. These findings underscore the importance of early detection and careful monitoring.

Improving physician awareness of RCVS is critical for enhancing diagnostic precision. Clinicians should consider this syndrome in every patient presenting with thunderclap headache. A multidisciplinary approach involving neurologists, radiologists, and critical care specialists further increases the likelihood of accurate diagnosis.

Conclusion

Reversible Cerebral Vasoconstriction Syndrome (RCVS) is a diagnostically challenging neurological disorder whose clinical manifestations frequently resemble those of other acute cerebrovascular diseases. The sudden onset of severe headache, often accompanied by nonspecific neurological symptoms, requires immediate clinical attention and careful diagnostic evaluation. Because the syndrome can mimic life-threatening conditions such as subarachnoid hemorrhage, central nervous system vasculitis, and arterial dissection, accurate and timely differentiation remains a critical component of effective patient management.

Modern neuroimaging techniques—particularly magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography angiography (CTA), and magnetic resonance angiography (MRA)—have significantly improved the detection of reversible cerebral vasoconstriction. These modalities allow clinicians to visualize segmental arterial narrowing and identify potential complications, including ischemic lesions or intracranial hemorrhage.

Nevertheless, early imaging findings may occasionally appear normal, as vasoconstriction often develops progressively. For this reason, repeat vascular imaging plays a crucial role when clinical suspicion persists despite inconclusive initial studies.

Although RCVS is generally considered a self-limiting condition with spontaneous resolution in most patients, accumulating evidence suggests that it should not always be regarded as benign. A subset of patients may develop serious neurological complications such as ischemic stroke, intracerebral hemorrhage, cortical subarachnoid hemorrhage, or posterior reversible encephalopathy syndrome. Early recognition is therefore essential not only for establishing the diagnosis but also for minimizing the risk of secondary brain injury.

Maintaining a high level of clinical vigilance is fundamental for improving diagnostic accuracy. Comprehensive patient assessment—including detailed medical history, identification of potential triggers, neurological examination, and appropriate imaging—facilitates earlier recognition of the syndrome. Equally important is the application of a structured differential diagnostic approach to distinguish RCVS from inflammatory, hemorrhagic, and thrombotic cerebrovascular disorders.

In conclusion, enhancing physician awareness of RCVS and promoting the systematic use of advanced diagnostic strategies are key steps toward optimizing patient care. Early identification, careful monitoring, and interdisciplinary collaboration can significantly reduce the likelihood of severe complications and contribute to improved neurological outcomes.

Future research should focus on refining diagnostic criteria, clarifying pathophysiological mechanisms, and developing standardized clinical guidelines to further support evidence-based management of this complex syndrome.

References

1. Miller TR, Shivashankar R, Mossa-Basha M, Gandhi D. Reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome: epidemiology and clinical course. *AJNR American Journal of Neuroradiology*.
2. Ducros A. Reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome. *Lancet Neurology*.
3. Calabrese LH et al. Narrative review: reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndromes. *Annals of Internal Medicine*.
4. Chen SP et al. Current perspectives on reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome. *Expert Review of Neurotherapeutics*.
5. Singhal AB, Topcuoglu MA. Reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome and associated complications. *Neurology*.
6. Mandell DM et al. Vessel wall MRI in differentiation of vasculitis and RCVS. *Stroke*.
7. Obusez EC et al. High-resolution MRI vessel wall imaging in cerebrovascular disorders. *AJNR*.
8. Lee MJ et al. Blood-brain barrier breakdown in reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome. *Annals of Neurology*.
9. Chen CY et al. Vascular wall imaging in reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome. *Journal of Headache and Pain*.
10. Freiha J et al. Reversible cerebral vasoconstriction syndrome: clinical implications. *Heliyon*.