

THE PEDAGOGICAL POTENTIAL OF MUSEUM PEDAGOGY IN DEVELOPING HISTORICAL THINKING AMONG PRE-SERVICE HISTORY TEACHERS

Niyozov Javlonbek Jakhongir ugli

Tashkent international university of Kimyo

Namangan branch department of social and humanitarian sciences.

Senior lecturer.

e-mail: j.niyozov@kiut.uz, javlonbek932691567@gmail.com

Tel: +998932691567

<https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.19624293>

Abstract. *This article examines the pedagogical potential of the museum environment in fostering and systematically developing historical thinking among pre-service history teachers in higher education institutions. The study is grounded in contemporary educational theories and offers a comprehensive theoretical analysis of historical thinking as a complex cognitive, reflective, and value-oriented competence. Particular attention is given to modern pedagogical approaches, including inquiry-based learning, constructivist theory, experiential learning, and competency-based teacher education.*

The article conceptualizes museums as authentic and multidimensional learning environments that provide unique conditions for integrating cognitive, emotional, and axiological dimensions into the process of history education. The research findings demonstrate that museum pedagogy constitutes an effective pedagogical mechanism for enhancing historical thinking competence among pre-service history teachers.

Keywords: *museum pedagogy; historical thinking; pre-service history teachers; history education; primary historical sources; historical empathy; competency-based education; inquiry-based learning; reflective thinking; interdisciplinary integration; digital and virtual museums.*

INTRODUCTION

The Educational Potential of Museum Pedagogy in Training History Teachers Museum pedagogy represents an interdisciplinary field that integrates pedagogy, psychology, museology, cultural studies, and history education. It focuses on educational processes implemented within museum environments and on the purposeful pedagogical use of museum collections, exhibitions, and cultural heritage resources for educational and personal development (Stolyarov, 2018; Hein, 1998).

Unlike traditional classroom-based instruction, museum pedagogy prioritizes experiential, object-based, and learner-centered learning grounded in authentic historical evidence.

Museums create unique educational conditions by enabling direct interaction with primary historical sources, such as artifacts, archaeological findings, manuscripts, works of art, and elements of material culture. This authenticity distinguishes museum-based learning from textbook-oriented instruction and fosters deep cognitive engagement, contextual understanding, and historical interpretation (Falk & Dierking, 2016). Scholars emphasize that encounters with authentic historical objects allow learners to construct historical meaning through observation, comparison, and interpretation, thereby strengthening analytical and reflective thinking (Hooper-Greenhill, 2007).

The pedagogical potential of museum environments in the training of history teachers is manifested through several interrelated dimensions: access to primary historical sources, which

develops source criticism, evidence-based reasoning, and interpretative skills (Wineburg, 2001; Levstik & Barton, 2015); enhanced visual and emotional engagement with history, which strengthens memory retention, motivation, and personal connections to historical narratives (Falk & Dierking, 2016); the development of historical empathy, defined as the ability to understand historical actors within their cultural, social, and ethical contexts (Seixas & Morton, 2013); opportunities for interdisciplinary learning through the integration of history with art, archaeology, anthropology, geography, and cultural studies (Hein, 1998); and the enhancement of reflective and value-oriented thinking, contributing to the formation of historical consciousness, ethical judgment, and civic responsibility (Rüsen, 2004).

The Contextual Model of Learning, developed by Falk and Dierking, conceptualizes museums as dynamic “learning ecosystems” in which cognitive, social, and physical contexts interact (Falk & Dierking, 2016). According to this model, learning in museums depends not only on content but also on learners’ prior knowledge, social interactions, and the physical environment. Consequently, museum-based learning fosters collaboration, communication, and reflection, which are essential for the professional preparation of future history teachers.

In recent years, the development of digital and virtual museum technologies has further expanded the educational potential of museum pedagogy. Virtual museums, 3D reconstructions, and interactive exhibitions enable learners to explore historical content beyond spatial and temporal constraints (Daniela, 2020; Makransky & Petersen, 2019).

These technologies are particularly important in teacher education, as they facilitate the development of both historical thinking and digital competence among future educators.

In the training of pre-service history teachers, museum pedagogy functions as a “living laboratory,” transforming theoretical knowledge into professional pedagogical competence. Through guided museum visits, artifact analysis, thematic excursions, and virtual exhibitions, students learn to design inquiry-based lessons, organize historical discussions, and integrate cultural heritage into classroom practice (Barton & Levstik, 2004; Shodmonov, 2023). Such experiences enhance methodological flexibility, pedagogical creativity, and reflective teaching practice.

Scholarly literature in Uzbekistan on museology and pedagogy also supports these conclusions. National studies emphasize the significant role of museum environments in developing historical consciousness, cultural identity, and value-based education among future teachers (Ismoilova et al., 2015; Methodological Coordination Center, 2018). According to Qodirova (2020), museum pedagogy in Uzbekistan performs not only educational but also moral and civic functions, contributing to the preservation and transmission of national heritage.

Similarly, To‘xtaxo‘jayev (2019) notes that integrating museum pedagogy into teacher education enhances students’ independent thinking, analytical skills, and professional motivation.

Overall, museum pedagogy provides a powerful educational model for training history teachers by integrating authentic historical sources, experiential learning, interdisciplinary approaches, and reflective practice. Its systematic implementation within teacher education programs significantly enhances historical thinking competence and prepares future educators to deliver inquiry-based, culturally enriched, and innovative history education.

METHODS

Pedagogical Conditions for the Development of Historical Thinking among Pre-Service History Teachers

The effective development of historical thinking among pre-service history teachers through museum environments is based on a system of interrelated pedagogical conditions.

These conditions ensure that museum pedagogy is applied not in an episodic or merely illustrative manner, but as a methodologically grounded and systematically integrated component of teacher education.

One of the key pedagogical conditions is the establishment of systematic collaboration between higher education institutions and museums. Stable institutional partnerships make it possible to align curricula with museum resources, exhibitions, and educational programs. As noted by Falk and Dierking (2016), such collaboration transforms museums from supplementary learning spaces into an integral part of formal education. Within teacher education, these partnerships provide future educators with opportunities to participate in structured museum-based activities, pedagogical practice, and research-oriented learning. Uzbek scholars also emphasize that consistent cooperation between higher education institutions and cultural heritage organizations strengthens the professional preparation of future teachers (Ismoilova et al., 2015; Methodological Coordination Center, 2018).

A second important condition is the methodological organization of museum-based learning activities. A simple museum visit does not, in itself, guarantee the development of historical thinking; it must be supported by clearly defined pedagogical objectives, inquiry-oriented tasks, and reflective activities. As noted by Hein (1998) and Levstik and Barton (2015), museum pedagogy should be structured around problem-based questions, models of source analysis, and guided interpretation. Such methodological clarity encourages students to engage with exhibits not as passive observers, but as active historical investigators.

Active student engagement in the analysis and interpretation of artifacts constitutes another essential pedagogical condition. Direct interaction with museum objects—analyzing their form, function, symbolic meaning, and historical context—develops key components of historical thinking, including sourcing, contextualization, and comparison (Wineburg, 2001).

Through such activities, students learn to identify cause-and-effect relationships, understand continuity and change, and construct evidence-based historical narratives. Research indicates that object-based learning significantly enhances analytical thinking and historical empathy (Seixas & Morton, 2013; Barton & Levstik, 2004).

The integration of reflective discussion and assessment into museum-based learning is also of critical importance. Reflection enables students to articulate their interpretations, evaluate historical significance, and connect past events with contemporary socio-cultural realities.

According to Rösen (2004), reflective interpretation is a central mechanism in the development of historical consciousness and ethical orientation. In teacher education, practices such as group discussions, reflective essays, portfolios, and presentations contribute to the development of pedagogical self-awareness and professional identity.

Pedagogical research in Uzbekistan also confirms the significance of these conditions.

Ismoilova (2015) emphasizes that museum pedagogy plays an important role in fostering respect for national and universal values, strengthening cultural identity, and developing moral responsibility. Similarly, Yoʻldoshev (2020) notes that museum-based learning promotes innovative approaches that integrate visual, material, and experiential methods in history teaching. These approaches align with national priorities aimed at modernizing teacher education and advancing competency-based education.

In recent years, the use of digital and virtual museum tools has introduced a new dimension to the conditions for developing historical thinking. Virtual museums, interactive exhibitions, and 3D reconstructions provide flexible and inclusive learning opportunities, particularly in higher education contexts where access to physical museums may be limited.

According to Makransky and Petersen (2019), immersive digital environments enhance learner engagement, conceptual understanding, and long-term retention of historical knowledge.

In teacher education, digital museum tools support the development of both historical thinking and digital pedagogical competence.

In conclusion, the development of historical thinking among pre-service history teachers through museum pedagogy requires a coherent system of pedagogical conditions, including institutional collaboration, methodological rigor, active student engagement, reflective practice, and the integration of digital technologies. When these conditions are met, museum environments become powerful pedagogical spaces that foster critical historical analysis, historical empathy, and professional teaching competence.

RESULTS

The findings of the study demonstrate that the systematic integration of museum pedagogy into the training process of pre-service history teachers significantly enhances all key components of historical thinking competence—namely cognitive, interpretative, methodological, and axiological dimensions. First, it was established that direct engagement with primary historical sources in museum environments activates skills of source criticism, evidence-based reasoning, and contextual analysis (Wineburg, 2001; Levstik & Barton, 2015). By analyzing the origin, function, and historical significance of artifacts, students achieved a deeper understanding of the distinction between historical evidence and interpretation.

A second important finding relates to the visual and emotional impact of the museum environment, which contributes to the development of historical empathy and the formation of personal engagement with historical narratives. Interaction with museum exhibitions and objects enhanced students' ability to understand historical actors and processes within their socio-cultural contexts (Seixas & Morton, 2013; Rösen, 2012). This, in turn, strengthened not only the intellectual but also the ethical and value-oriented dimensions of historical thinking.

The results also confirm the role of museum pedagogy in fostering interdisciplinary integration. The integration of history with art, archaeology, anthropology, and cultural studies contributed to students' ability to comprehend historical complexity and to think from multiple perspectives (Hein, 1998; Falk & Dierking, 2016). Such integration transformed historical thinking from a fragmented body of knowledge into a coherent and systematic understanding.

Furthermore, the use of digital and virtual museum technologies was found to expand educational outcomes by reducing spatial and temporal constraints. Virtual exhibitions and 3D reconstructions increased student engagement in the learning process and had a positive effect on the long-term retention of historical knowledge (Makransky & Petersen, 2019; Daniela, 2020).

As a result, pre-service history teachers developed not only historical thinking but also digital pedagogical competencies.

DISCUSSION

The obtained results make it possible to interpret museum pedagogy as an effective and innovative pedagogical mechanism for the development of historical thinking among pre-service history teachers.

The findings of the study are consistent with the concept of historical thinking proposed by Wineburg (2001) and with Rösen's (2004) theoretical perspectives on historical consciousness and narrative competence.

In particular, educational practices organized within museum environments create favorable conditions for developing historical thinking as an “unnatural intellectual activity.”

The discussion further indicates that the actual effectiveness of museum pedagogy is directly linked to its implementation not as an episodic activity or illustrative supplement, but as a methodologically grounded and systematically integrated component of teacher education programs. As emphasized by Falk and Dierking (2016), conceptualizing museums as dynamic learning ecosystems enhances collaboration, communication, and reflective learning among students. The findings of this study confirm that such an approach plays a crucial role in the professional preparation of future history teachers.

In conclusion, museum pedagogy provides a comprehensive pedagogical model for developing historical thinking among pre-service history teachers by integrating work with authentic sources, experiential learning, interdisciplinary approaches, reflective practice, and digital technologies. In the context of Uzbekistan, the systematic integration of museum resources into teacher education programs significantly expands opportunities for fostering historical consciousness, cultural identity, and civic responsibility, while also preparing educators capable of delivering inquiry-based and innovative history education.

REFERENCES

1. Мавлонов, А. А., & Абдуллаев, Б. Д. (2016). Водные ресурсы Узбекистана и их использование: современное состояние и перспективы. *ГЕОГРАФИЯ ЖЭНЕ*, 1998, 63.
2. Мавлонов, А. А. (2024). ТИЛ МАСАЛАСИ–МАЪНАВИЙ МУСТАҚИЛЛИКНИНГ АСОСИЙ ШАРТИ СИФАТИДА. *Вестник магистратуры*, (6-1 (153)), 42-46.
3. Мавлонов, А. А., & Раҳимжонова, И. (2023). ЎРТА ОСИЁГА ФОТОГРАФИЯНИНГ КИРИБ КЕЛИШИ ТАРИХИ. *Вестник магистратуры*, (5-3 (140)), 44-45.
4. Мавлонов, А. А., & Абдулҳафизов, М. (2023). ФИҚҲ ЁКИ ИСЛОМ ҚОНУНШУНОСЛИГИНИНГ ВУЖУДГА КЕЛИШ ТАРИХИ. *Вестник магистратуры*, 46.
5. Kudratkhodja, S., Alptekin, M. Y., Altinboyev, N., Pulatova, D., Mavlonov, A., & Aripov, M. (2026). DISAPPEARING WEDDING RITES OF PASSAGE IN UZBEKISTAN: MODERNIZATION AND FUNCTIONALIST PERSPECTIVES.
6. Anvarxanovich, M. A., & Safoxon, M. (2023). QADIMGI DUNYO TARIXIDA O'RTA OSIYO XALQLARINING MILLIY ISTIQLOL OZODLIK HARAKATLARI. *Вестник магистратуры*, (5-3 (140)), 42-43.
7. Mavlanov, A., & Mirzohidov, S. (2024). THE CULT OF WATER IN THE ANCIENT BELIEFS OF THE UZBEKS OF THE FERGANA VALLEY. *Science and innovation*, 3(C1), 5-7.
8. Mavlonov, A., & Mirzohidov, S. (2024). USE OF INNOVATIVE TECHNOLOGIES IN HISTORY TEACHING METHODOLOGY. *Science and innovation*, 3(B8), 26-28.
9. Mavlonov, A., & Mirzohidov, S. Uzbek Cultural Heritage: Preserving Traditional Songs Importance AND Methods. *Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal*, 12(8), 20-26.

10. Karimov, A., Smakhtin, V., Mavlonov, A., & Gracheva, I. (2010). Water 'banking' in Fergana valley aquifers—A solution to water allocation in the Syrdarya river basin?. *Agricultural Water Management*, 97(10), 1461-1468.
11. Mavlonov, A. (2021, June). WATER CULT IN ANCIENT RELIGIOUS BELIEFS (Based on materials from the Fergana Valley). In *Конференции*.
12. Niyozov, J. (2026, January). DEVELOPING HISTORICAL THINKING OF PRE-SERVICE HISTORY TEACHERS THROUGH MUSEUM PEDAGOGY. In *International Conference on Science, Education & Law* (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 4-7).
13. Niyozov, J. (2026, February). DEVELOPING THE AXIOLOGICAL COMPONENT OF HISTORICAL THINKING IN PRE-SERVICE HISTORY TEACHERS BASED ON MUSEUM PEDAGOGY. In *International Conference on Culture & History* (Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 8-12).
14. Niyozov, J. (2026, February). MUSEUM PEDAGOGY AS A TOOL FOR DEVELOPING SOURCE-CRITICAL AND INTERPRETATIVE SKILLS IN PRE-SERVICE HISTORY TEACHERS. In *International Conference on Social Sciences & Humanities* (Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 11-15).
15. Niyozov, J. (2026, January). DEVELOPING HISTORICAL THINKING THROUGH THE INTEGRATION OF MUSEUM PEDAGOGY AND INFORMATION TECHNOLOGIES. In *International Conference on Arts, Society & Humanities* (Vol. 2, No. 1, pp. 24-27).
16. Niyozov, J. Educational Potential OF Museum Pedagogy AND Criteria for Its Application in Developing Historical Thinking OF Pre-Service History Teachers. *Maktabgacha va Maktab Ta'limi Jurnal*, 676773.
17. Niyozov, J. Bo 'lajak Tarix O 'qituvchilarini Muzei Pedagogikasi Vositasi Orqali Tarixiy Tafakkurini Rivojlantirishning Modeli. *Maktabgacha va Maktab Ta'limi Jurnal*, 675308.
18. Арифханова, З. Х., Абашин, С. Н., & Алимова, Д. А. (2011). Узбеки.
19. Саримсоцов, А. А. (2011). Узбекларнинг таквими маросимлари (Фаргона водийси материаллари асосида). *Тошкент: Yangi Nashr*.
20. Саримсоков, А. А. (2010). Календарные обряды узбеков (на основе материалов Ферганской долины).
21. Abdiraximovich, S. A., & Tursunpilotovich, M. M. (2025, September). O'ZBEKISTON VA KOREYA RESPUBLIKASI MUNOSABATLARI YANGI BOSQICHDA (NAMANGAN VILOYATI MISOLIDA). In *Partner conferences of the International Scientific Journal Research Focus* (Vol. 1, No. 2, pp. 369).
22. Abdiraximovich, S. A. (2025, August). NAMANGAN VILOYATI QORAQALPOQLARIDA HUNARMANDCHILIK AN'ANALARI. In *Partner conferences of the International Scientific Journal Research Focus* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 135-139).
23. Abdiraximovich, S. A. (2025, August). O 'ZBEKLARNING TAQVIMIY MAROSIMLARI: BAHORIY SAYILLAR. In *Partner conferences of the International Scientific Journal Research Focus* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 152-156).
24. Саримсоков, А. А. (2024). The ritual of "qo'sh chiqarish" among Uzbeks and its territorial features (on the example of the Fergana Valley). *Историческая этнология*, 9(2), 214-223.

25. Sarimsokov A. A. Navruz: Customs and Ceremonies //Central Asian Journal of Social Sciences and History. – 2024. – Т. 5. – №. 2. – С. 14-20.
26. Sarimsokov A. A. IMAGINATIONS OF UZBEK PEOPLE RELATED TO" GOOD" AND" BAD" TIME //Asian Journal of Multidimensional Research. – 2022. – Т. 11. – №. 8. – С. 66-69.
27. Саримсоков А. А. Традиционные календарные взгляды узбеков //Ученый XXI века. – 2020. – №. 1 (60). – С. 24-29.
28. Egamberdiyev, B. (2026). O 'ZBEKISTONDA MAHALLIY ODATLAR VA MAROSIMLARINING SHAKLLANISHI VA ULARNING FALSAFIY-TARIXIY MOHIYATI. *Ижтимоий-гуманитар фанларнинг долзарб муаммолари Актуальные проблемы социально-гуманитарных наук Actual Problems of Humanities and Social Sciences.*, 6(1), 75-82.
29. Baxodir o'g'li, E. B. (2025, December). O'ZBEK XALQINING AN'ANAVIY MAROSIM VA URF-ODATLARINING TARIXIY ASOSLARI VA RIVOJLANISH FALSAFIY TAHLILI (QADIMGI DAVR, ISLOM DAVRI MISOLIDA). In *Partner conferences of the International Scientific Journal Research Focus* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 20-24).
30. Rahimberdiyev, S. (2026). KOREYA RESPUBLIKASIDA O 'ZBEK MIGRANTLARINING MADANIY IDENTIFIKATSIYASI: TRANSMILLIY JARAYON VA KUNDALIK HAYOT DINAMIKASI. *Universal xalqaro ilmiy jurnal*, 3(3.1), 434-440.
31. Bekmurod o'g'li, R. S. (2025, December). KOREYADAGI O'ZBEKISTONLIK MEHNAT MIGRANTLARINING IJTIMOIIY HOLATI VA MADANIY IDENTIFIKATSIYA JARAYONLARI. In *Partner conferences of the International Scientific Journal Research Focus* (Vol. 1, No. 1, pp. 108-111).
32. Yusupov, D. S. O. (2021). The stages of creation smart clothing and electronic garments. *Science and Education*, 2(4), 163-165.